

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,128	LIAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.	1643	

All Participants:

 (1) Stephen L. Rawlings, Ph.D.

 (2) Michael Mercanti
Status of Application: _____

 (3) Paul Diamond

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 December 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner proposed entry of an examiner's amendment that would place this application in condition for allowance, provided that Applicant or their representative provide a statement of assurance that all of the requirements concerning the deposit of the claimed hybridoma are met in accordance with the rules set forth under 37 C.F.R. 1.801-1.809; Applicant's representatives authorized entry of the proposed amendment. Notably, the statement of assurance was filed December 21, 2007..